Today in National Affairs

STATIN

The Dagger in the Cloak —And Mr. Otepka's Back

TL By David Lawrence

WASHINGTON.

Sen. Thomas J. Dodd, D., Conn. has achieved a reputa-tion for independent thinking. When he says that the Department of State in dismissing its Director of Security, used methods that are highly questionable, the country naturally becomes interested, especially since Mr. Dodd himself at one time served in the Federal Bureau of Investigation under J. Edgar Hoover.

The Connecticut Senator told the Schate Tuesday, Nov. 5, that a "serious challenge to responsible government" occurred when Otto Otepka was dismissed by the State Department

simply because he had "test!fied honestly before the Sento security in the Department of State." He quoted the existing statutes which say that "the right of persons employed in the Civil Service of the United States, either individually or collectively, to petition Congress, or any member thereof, or to furnish information to either house of Congress or to any committee or member thereof, shall not be denied or interfered with.

Sen. Dodd declared that, by its action in the Otepka case, the State Department "has in effect, nullified this statute" and has issued a they installed a tap or his warning "to all employees that co-operation with the established convillees of hied under oath that this the Senate, if this co-operation involves testimony considered unpalatable at higher echelon, is a crime punish-

ternal Security subcommitted released on Nov. 9 letters filed by three State Department no charge had yet been officials asking that the reco amended and conceding that an eavesdropping device had been placed on the telephorn wire in Mr. Otepka's office. Sen. R. L. Hruska, R., Neb., thereupon demanded that thur State Department conside: dismissing the three men who, he said, had misled the Senate. Immediately after Mr. Hruska's statement, two of the same three men-what have been the principal accusers of Mr. Otepka-week placed on "administrative leave" for the time being ty "administrative

the State Department. Investigated on Capitol Hill (1) 1963.N.Y. Herald Tribute Inc. Sen. Dodd, in his initial in demestic affairs, for the pointed out that the case "cannot be overstated and added:

"Mr. Otcoba was the last old-line security officer holdate Subcommittee on Internal ing a top position in the Security on matters relating Office of Security. He has been an employee of the United States government for 27 years. He has served as Deputy Director of the Office of Security and Officer in charge of evaluations. His efficiency ratings have always been 'excellent.' In 1958 he received the meritorious service award from Secretary of State Dulles. But suddenly, for some strange reason, cortain people in the department decided that Mr. Otepka had

"And so, they began first, to restrict his functions. Then was done, the Subcommittee on Internal Security has proof that the tap was installed. Then they began to monitor able by dismissal. Mr. Otepha's burn basket.
Then came a sensational Then they locked him out of development. The Senate In-this office and india him. this office and Indel him

> access to his tiles, although brought against him

"No ogr suspected of espionage or disloyalty has to my knowledge been subjected to such surveillance and ha-miliation But Mr. Otepsa was not suspected of disloyelty or espionage. He was suspected very simply of co-operating with the Senate Eubcommittee on Internal Security and of providing it. with information that some of his superiors found entbarrassing or objectionable.

In many respects this it. more iniportant than the alloged scandals that are being lavestigated on Capitol Hill

whole question of syling security clearance to infividuals employed in the Department of State now has been raised in a sensational manner. Sen. Dodd cojiclided

"If the dismissal of Mr,"
Otepka is permitted to stand,
it will become impossible or it will become impossible or exceedingly difficult to elicit any information from em-ployees of the executive branch that bears on disloyalty, malfeasance, conflict of interest, or other wrongdoing by their superiors.

The Department of State has not made public its detailed reasons for the dismissai of Mr. Otepka, nor has it given a satisfactory explanation to the Senate Internal Security subcommittee. But wherever the question of security arises, Congress is naturally on the alert. For there have been too many instances in which employees in the executive branch of the government have been given security clearance and later turned out to be indiscreet in passing out to friends and acquaintances information which eventually reached the Communist side.

. In a vast organization of employees such as the Federal government maintains today; it is natural that there should be instances of questionable security. But the system which the Department of State has for years maintained is one that has created in Congress confidence in its procedures. The Otepka case has shaken that confidence. And the fact that the current fight for better security is being led by a Northern Democrat, who himself is an expert in security matters, is accepted by other Senators as an indication that the problem is being handled by the Senate in a wholly nonpartisan way.

The Administration has the responsibility of answering to the Senate and to the public just why the methods complained of by Sen. Dodd were used to remove a competent official from tthe delicate and difficult post of handling se-Carity matters in the Department of State.

significance of Santuzed - Approved For Release: CIA-RDP75-00149R000200310058-6